Enhancing Area Burned and Emissions for Air Quality Planning

Supporting Multi-scale Chemical Forecasting and Assimilation studies in support of AURA Validation and Science during INTEX-NA and TexAQS

Amber Soja, Jassim Al-Saadi, Brad Pierce, Chieko Kittaka, James Szykman Louis Giglio, Dave Randall, Joe Kordzi, Sean Raffuse, Tom Moore, Biswadev A. Roy, George Pouliot, David J. Williams, Tom Pierce and Tom Pace

**Photo courtesy of Brian Stocks** 

Biomass burning emission estimates for use in near-real-time forecasting for field campaigns and in regional-to-global transport models.



Ground-based carbon consumption estimates were developed to estimate near-real-time biomass burning emissions.



11

15

Paired with satellite-derived estimates of area burned, these data are currently used in large-scale regional transport models.



0.6







# **Air Quality Applications Enhancing Biomass Emissions**

Integrated System Solution – Fire National Emission Inventory



#### Expected benefits

Benefit to partner(s): Improvement in emissions inventory by enhancing ground-based area burned data using satellite imagery and decrease the cost necessary to generate accurate emissions inventories.

NASA Applied Science Program

#### Benefit to NASA Earth science:

Utilize existing NASA imagery and by demonstrating satellite utility, create the conditions necessary for sustained use. **Benefit to NASA Applied science**: Benchmarking quantification of the ability of satellite data to enhance ground-based data and to identify missed fires. A complete end-to end analysis of area burned, direct emissions, chemical transport and verification using coincident field campaigns (INTEX, TEXAS-AQ), EPA ground Stations and modeling.



## Overview: Decisions Support System, User/Partners, Earth Science Products

• **Primary Partners:** EPA ORD/NERL and OAR/OAQPS, NOAA NESDIS/ORA, 5 Regional Planning Organizations (RPOs), DEQs, and interested state and local governments.

- DSS: EPA, RPOs, NEI
- Earth Science measurements: MODIS and GOES

## **Approach and Major Milestones**

- Demonstrate the usefulness and value of incorporating satellite-based fire data in the National Emissions Inventory.
- Benchmark the improvement in area burned and the ability to accurately assess fire using satellite-based fire data.
- Aid in the implementation and validation of satellite data to the NEI and the CMAQ model.
- Benchmark the improvement in biomass emissions to the NEI and CMAQ using satellitebased data.

NASA Applied Science Program

**Goal:** Improve the EPA and Regional Planning Organizations (RPOs) biomass emissions estimates within the National Emissions Inventory (NEI) by enhancing ground-based fire data using satellitederived fire products.

### **Justification**

- In 1990, Congress amended the Clean Air Act (CAA) to require the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to address regional haze.
- As a result of the Regional Haze rule, 5 RPOs were formed across the U.S. in an effort to initiate and coordinate activities associated with the management of regional haze and other air quality issues. The RPOs are tasked with assisting the States in the development of regional haze <u>State Implementation</u> <u>Plans</u> (SIPs).
- Biomass burning can be a significant contributor to a regions inability to achieve the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for PM 2.5 and ozone, particularly on the top 20% worst air quality days.
- Biomass burning is a major contributor of particulate matter and other pollutants to the atmosphere and is one of the most poorly documented of all sources.



# Evolution of one success story...

We used GIS models. MODIS, GOES ABBA and Landsat imagery to compare the National Emissions Inventory (NEI) area burned data to satellite-derived fire data. We were able to demonstrate that the satellite was able to detect unreported fires and to provide accurate geographic information. This research (conference and EPA manuscript) demonstrated the useful capability of satellite-derived fire data and helped to establish credibility of the satellite data and trust.



NASA Applied Science Program

Landsat Enhanced Thematic Mapper (ETM+) 30m resolution imagery



NASA

In 2005 and 2006, we presented (manuscript and El conference) statistical analyses comparing satellite-based fire data to the currently used and trusted ground-based inventory data. We identified inconsistencies and highlighted the improved value in using satellite data in identifying the time the fires occurred, the geographic location of the fires and the spatial movement of the fires as they burned over time. This analysis defined error between the methodologies, without which the emissions estimates would not have been viewed with confidence.



# **July 05, 2002**

# July 21, 2002

<mark>Ground-based area burned barea burned burne</mark>

Fire detections (1 detect = 1 km<sup>2</sup>)

Biscuit – July 14-31, 2002 115,500 acres 455 km<sup>2</sup> 25 acres

**Terra buffered** 

**GOES** area burned

**GOES** buffered

Agua data (1 km2)

Aqua buffered Terra data (1 km2)

July Analysis

0.10 km<sup>2</sup>

WRAP area burned

WRAP

Aqua 423 records July 11-29, 2002

Terra 746 records July 14-31, 2002 (MODIS 257% >)

GOES 231.79 km<sup>2</sup> July 4-31, 2002 (51%)

Area burned within All MODIS buffered aggregated space = 482.94 km^2 Satellite and ground-based fire data Biscuit fire

August 22, 2002

Biscuit fire July burning only

Each MODIS point is buffered to 0.50 m diameter or area = 0.79 km^2.

All MODIS aggregated <u>GOES</u> area burned WRAP area burned <u>GOES</u> buffered

Area burned product 482.94 km<sup>2</sup> (6% >) Satellite and ground comparison All data including non-coincident

			GOES instantaneous	
	MODIS Terra	MODIS Aqua	area	WRAP
Oregon	2761	1984	800	2113
Arizona	168	162	38	167
Total per	cent difference		Data reporte	ed in km <sup>2</sup>
Oregon	all MODIS 225% > WRAP		38% of WRAP	
Arizona	all MODIS 197% > WRAP		23% of WRAP	

Total area captured by satellite-based area burned product: Arizona - 81% of all fires (wildfire, prescribed and rangeland) Oregon - 92% of all fires (wildfire, prescribed and agricultural)

**Photo courtesy of Brian Stocks** 

Satellite-based Fire Data (2002) for Biomass Burning Emissions

Draft Version One

GOES and MODIS Fire Data 2002

This CD is a compilation of satellite-based fire products prepared as part of the NASA Applications Emissions project in cooperation with our partners, the Environmental Protection Agency and Regional Planning Organizations

#### **Draft Version One**

Data collected and prepared by Amber J. Soja, Louis Giglio, Elaine Prins and Chris Schmidt

Many thanks to Jim J. Szykman, Joe Kordzi, Tom Pace, Tom Pierce, Tom Moore, David J. Williams, Jassim A. Al-Saadi, R. Bradley Pierce, Chieko Kittaka, John Hunter, Katie Lorentz, Doreen Neil and Lawrence Friedl Collaborations NASA Langley and Goddard NOAA EPA USDA Forest Service NCAR

Departments of Environmental Quality State and local agencies

Canadian Forest Service Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Sukachev

> Air Sciences SSAI SAIC MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, Inc. Sonoma Technologies

#### **Regional Planning Organizations (RPO)**

Central Regional Air Planning Association (CENRAP) Midwest Regional Planning Organization (Midwest RPO) Mid-Atlantic and Northeast Visibility Union (MANE-VU) Visibility Improvement State and Tribal Association of the Southeast (VISTAS) Western Regional Air Partnership (WRAP)

**INTEX-NA A, INTEX-NA B, and TexAQS** 

**Field campaigns** 



Lesson learned from NASA Air Quality Applications project "Enhancing Biomass Emissions"

B

Listen to and understand the customer's needs.



Understand that it takes time to build trust with the customer and to demonstrate competency in the data, which is essential.



Focus on a tractable problem.



Expect and be prepared to explain data to people that have a wide range of skill, from the novice to the expert. The improved satellite product may need to be sold at many levels.

Learn the customer data and describe the benefits of the new satellite data in terms of the time-honored and trusted data source



Don't try to feed the customer with a fire hose.

Follow-up with the customers and follow through with the implementation of the data.

